

# Draft Final Audit Report of the Audit Division on the Dallas County Republican Party

(January 1, 2009 - December 31, 2010)

# Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the Federal Election Campaign Act (the Act). The Commission generally conducts such audits when a committee appears not to have met the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. The audit determines whether the committee complied with the limitations. prohibitions and disclosure requirements of the Act.

### Future Action

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to any of the matters discussed in this report.

## About the Committee (p. 2)

The Dallas County Republican Party<sup>2</sup> is a local party committee headquartered in Dallas, Texas. For more information, see the chart on the Committee Organization, p. 2.

### Financial Activity (p. 2)

•	K	eceipts		•	
	0	Contributions from	Individuals	\$ 350,116	
		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	C. 1 1 (28)		

Account 196,000

O Other Federal Receipts 1,009

Total Receipts \$ 547,125

Disbursements

Total Disbursements	\$ 547,997
O Other Disbursements	31,000
o Federal Election Activity	36,372
Operating Expenditures	\$ 480,625

# Findings and Recommendations (p. 3)

- Misstatement of Financial Activity (Finding 1)
- Recordkeeping for Employees (Finding 2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On August 16, 2011, the Dallas County Republican Party changed its name to Dallas County Republican Party – Primary.

# Draft Final Audit Report of the Audit Division on the Dallas County Republican Party

(January 1, 2009 - December 31, 2010)



# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Part I. Background Authority for Audit Scope of Audit Commission Guidance	1 1 1
Part II. Overview of Committee Committee Organization Overview of Financial Activity	» 2 2
Part III. Summaries Findings and Recommendations	3
Part IV. Findings and Recommendations Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity Finding 2. Recordkeeping for Employees	4 5

# Part I Background

## **Authority for Audit**

This report is based on an audit of the Dallas County Republican Party (DCRP), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report under 2 U.S.C. §434. Prior to conducting any audit under this subsection, the Commission must perform an internal review of reports filed by selected committees to determine if the reports filed by a particular committee meet the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. §438(b)

## Scope of Audit

Following Commission-approved procedures, the Audit staff evaluated various risk factors and as a result, this audit examined:

- 1. the disclosure of individual contributors' occupation and name of employer;
- 2. the disclosure of disbursements, debts and obligations;
- 3. the disclosure of expenses allocated between federal and non-federal accounts;
- 4. the consistency between reported figures and bank records;
- 5. the completeness of records; and
- 6. other committee operations necessary to the review.

### Commission Guidance

## Request for Early Commission Consideration of a Legal Question

Pursuant to the Commission "Policy Statement Establishing a Program for Requesting Consideration of Legal Questions by the Commission," several state party committees unaffiliated with DCRP requested early consideration of a legal question raised during an audit. Specifically, the Commission addressed whether monthly time logs under 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1) were required for employees paid with 100 percent federal funds.

The Commission concluded, by a vote of 5-1, that 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1) does require committees to keep a monthly log for employees paid exclusively with federal funds. Exercising its prosecutorial discretion, however, the Commission decided not to pursue recordkeeping violations for the failure to keep time logs or to provide affidavits to account for employee salaries paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such. Audit staff informed DCRP's representative of the payroll log requirement and of the Commission's decision not to pursue recordkeeping violations for failure to keep payroll logs for salaries paid and correctly reported as 100 percent federal, excluding anrended filings. Finding 2 of this audit report (Recordkeeping for Employees) does not include any DCRP employees paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such.

# Part II Overview of Committee

# Committee Organization

Important Dates	
Date of Registration	February 5, 1999
Audit Coverage	January 1, 2009 December 31, 2010
Headquarters	Dallas, Texas
Bank Information	<i>1</i>
Bank Depositories	One
Bank Accounts	One federal; three non-federal, one
	Levin
Treasurer	
Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	Honorable Wade Emmert
• Treasurer During Period Covered by Audit	Jonathan Neerman
Management Information	
Attended Commission Campaign Finance	Yes
Seminar	<u> </u>
Who Handled Accounting and	Paid staff
Recordkeeping Tasks	

# Overview of Financial Activity (Audited Amounts)

Cash-on-hand @ January 1, 2009	\$ 1,374
Receipts	
o Contributions from Individuals	350,116
o Transfers from Non-federal Account	196,000
o Other Federal Receipts	1,009
Total Receipts	\$ 547,125
Dishawaamanta	
Dispursements	
Disbursements  O Operating Disbursements	480,625
	480,625 36,372
o Operating Disbursements	36,372
o Operating Disbursements o Federal Election Activity	

# Part III Summaries

# Findings and Recommendations

## Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of DCRP's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed that, for 2010, DCRP understated its reported receipts and disbursements by \$31,817 and \$32,201, respectively. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, DCRP amended its reports and materially edgregated its misstatements. (For more detail, see p. 4.)

## Finding 2. Recordkeeping for Employees

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff determined that DCRP did not maintain any monthly payroll logs, as required, to document the percentage of time each employee spent on federal election activity. For 2009 and 2010, the Audit staff identified payments to DCRP employees totaling \$85,520<sup>3</sup> for which monthly payroll logs were not maintained. This consisted of \$70,520, for which DCRP allocated payroll between federal and non-federal funds, and \$15,000 that DCRP paid exclusively with non-federal funds.

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, DCRP agreed to maintain monthly payroll logs to track federal election activity for non-federal payroll and payroll allocated between federal and non-federal activity. The Audit staff considers the matter resolved. (For more detail, see p. 5.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This total does not include payroll for employees paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such. (See Part I, Background, Commission Guidance, Request for Early Consideration of a Legal Question on Page 1).

# Part IV Findings and Recommendations

# Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity

#### Summary

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of DCRP's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed that, for 2010, DCRP understated its reported receipts and disbursements by \$31,817 and \$32,201, respectively. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, DCRP amended its reports and materially corrected its misstatements.

#### Legal Standard

Contents of Reports. Each report must disclose:

- the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning and end of the reporting period;
- the total amount of receipts for the reporting period and for the calendar year;
- the total amount of disbursements for the reporting period and for the calendar year;
   and
- certain transactions that require itemization on Schedule A (Itemized Receipts) or Schedule B (Itemized Disbursements). 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5).

#### **Facts and Analysis**

#### A. Facts

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff reconciled reported financial activity with bank records for calendar years 2009 and 2010 and identified a misstatement of receipts and disbursements for 2010. The following chart outlines the discrepancies.

2010 Activity				
y	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy	
Opening Cash Balance  @ January 1, 2010	\$1,178	\$2,045	\$867 Understated	
Receipts	\$287,185	\$319,002	\$31,817 Understated	
Disbursements	\$288,344	\$320,545	\$32,201 Understated	
Ending Cash Balance  @ December 31, 2010	\$19	\$502	\$483 Understated	

The understatement of receipts resulted from the following.

<ul> <li>Credit card deposit not reported</li> </ul>	\$ 24,277
<ul> <li>March 2010 deposits not reported</li> </ul>	5,995
<ul> <li>On-line deposit not reported</li> </ul>	1,720
<ul> <li>Miscellaneous identified amounts</li> </ul>	(175)
Net Understatement of Receipts	\$ 31.817

The understatement of disbursements resulted from the following.

•	Transfers to non-federal account not reported		\$ 31,000
•	Miscellaneous identified disbursements not repor	ted	1,341
•	Unidentified difference		(140) \$ 32,201
	Net Understatement of Disbursements		\$ 32,201

#### B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conference, the Audit staff explained the misstatements and provided schedules to DCRP representatives. The representatives offered no comments during or subsequent to the exit conference.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that DCRP amend its reports to correct the misstatements noted above.

#### C. Committee Response to Intorim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, DCRP filed amended reports for 2010 that materially corrected its misstatements.

# Finding 2. Recordkeeping for Employees

#### Summary

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff determined that DCRP did not maintain any monthly payroll logs, as required, to document the percentage of time each employee spent on federal election activity. For 2009 and 2010, the Audit staff identified payments to DCRP employees totaling \$85,520<sup>4</sup> for which monthly payroll logs were not maintained. This consisted of \$70,520, for which DCRP allocated payroll between federal and non-federal funds, and \$15,000 that DCRP paid exclusively with non-federal funds.

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, DCRP agreed to maintain monthly payroll logs to track federal election activity for non-federal payroll and payroll allocated between federal and non-federal activity. The Audit staff considers the matter resolved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This total does not include payroll for employees paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such. (See Part I, Background, Commission Guidance, Request for Early Consideration of a Legal Question on Page 1).

### Legal Standard

Maintenance of Mnnthly Logs. Party committees must keep a monthly log of the percentage of time each employee spends in connection with a federal election. Allocations of salaries, wages, and fringe benefits are to be undertaken as follows:

- employees who spend 25 percent or less of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activities must be paid either from the federal account or have their pay allocated as administrative costs;
- employees who spend more than 25 percent of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activities must be paid only from a federal account; and,
- employees who spend none of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activities may be paid entirely with funds that comply with state law. 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1).

### **Facts and Analysis**

#### A. Facts

During fieldwork, the Audit staff reviewed disbursements for payfoll. DCRP did not maintain any monthly logs or equivalent records to document the percentage of time each employee spent in connection with federal election activity. These logs are required to document the proper allocation of federal and non-federal funds used to pay employee salaries and wages. For 2009 and 2010, logs were not maintained for \$85,520. in payroll. The \$85,520 connisted of \$70,520, for which payroll was allocated between federal and non-federal funds, and \$15,000 that was paid exclusively with non-federal funds.

### B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conference and during audit fieldwork, the Audit staff discussed the payroll recordkeeping issue with DCRF representatives. The representatives offered no additional comments or documentation to support the expenses.

For DCRP employees that were paid with exclusively non-federal funds or an allocation of federal and non-federal funds, the Interior Audit Report recommended that DCRP provide the following

- evidence that DCRP had maintained monthly time logs to document how much time the employee had spent on federal election activity;
- provide and implement a plan to maintain monthly payroll logs to track the percentage of time each employee spends on federal election activity.

<sup>5</sup> Amounts are net of payroll taxes and benefits totaling \$22,896.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This total does not include payroll for employees paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such. (See Part I, Background, Commission Guidance, Request for Early Consideration of a Legal Question on Page 1).

# C. Committee Response to Interim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, DCRP agreed to maintain monthly payroll logs to track federal election activity for non-federal payroll and payroll allocated between federal and non-federal activity. Such action is consistent with Commission guidance with respect to payroll logs. The Audit staff considers the matter resolved.

